



CONSERVATION COMMISSION PUBLIC MEETING

2 Bathing Beach Road
Nantucket, Massachusetts 02554

www.nantucket-ma.gov

Wednesday, April 20, 2016 4:00 P.M.
4 Fairgrounds Road, Training Room

Commissioners: Ernie Steinauer (Chair), Andrew Bennett (Vice Chair), Ashley Erisman, David LaFleur,
Ben Champoux, Ian Golding, Joe Topham

Called to order at 4:01 p.m.

Staff in attendance:	Jeff Carlson, Natural Resources Coordinator
Attending Members:	Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
Absent Members:	None
Late Arrivals:	LaFleur, 4:01 p.m.
Earlier Departure:	None

Agenda adopted by unanimous consent

*Matter has not been heard

I. PUBLIC MEETING

A. Public Comment – None

II. PUBLIC HEARING

A. Notice of Intent

1. Edwin Snider RT – 1 Brock’s Court (42.3.4-84) SE48-2834 **Cont (05/04/16)**
2. Edwin Snider RT – 1 Brock’s Court (42.3.4-84) SE48-2835 **Cont (05/04/16)**
3. Zarella – 125 Wauwinet Road (12-8) SE48- 2856 **Cont (05/04/16)**
4. Zarella – 129 Wauwinet Road (12-4) SE48- 2857 **Cont (05/04/16)**
5. Great State Properties, LLC – 92 Washington Street (42.2.3-22) SE48-2870

Sitting	Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
Recused	None
Documentation	Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
Representative	Stan Humphries , LEC – Reviewed previous discussion. Resited the garage to the south side of the property; this requires a shed be relocated to the far west corner. The shed is on 4 concrete piers to allow water to pass under the shed. Arthur Reade, Reade, Gullicksen, Hanley, & Gifford LLP
Public	None
Discussion (4:02)	Golding – He had brought up the scenic view from Washington Street; read the Town regulations pertaining to wetland scenic views. He is more comfortable with the resiting of the garage. Erisman – Asked about the material of the current parking and how much brick will be in the new driveway. Humphries – It is currently gravel; reviewed the area to be bricked. Steinauer – Confirmed that the beach will be open for people to walk the harbor.
Staff	Have everything needed to close.
Motion	Motion to Close. (made by: Champoux) (seconded by: Topper)
Vote	Carried unanimously
	6. *Pocomo Neighbours – 47, 53, 55, 57, 61, 63 & 69 Pocomo Road (Multiple) SE48-2874
Sitting	Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
Recused	None
Documentation	Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
Representative	Arthur D. Gasbarro , Nantucket Engineering & Survey – Reviewed the erosion control project. Length just under 1800 feet covering seven properties. Previous projects to stabilize the bank will be removed; fiber rolls will be installed and covered with sand then planted with American beach grass. The equipment access to the beach is from Pocomo Point and material will be delivered from a proprietor’s road over the bank. Have included in the application the same monitoring conditions imposed on similar projects. Lee Weishar , Senior Scientist/Coastal Engineer Woods Hole Group — Reviewed his investigation of the property leading to the decision to install this type of coastal erosion structure. Arthur Reade, Reade, Gullicksen, Hanley, & Gifford LLP Seth Wilkinson, Wilkinson Ecological Design
Public	Emily Molden, Nantucket Land Council
Discussion (4:08)	Erisman – Asked at what point in the lunar cycle was the high water measured. Weishar – He will get back with that information. He studied three events from January 2016 to calculate the affect of storm surge, wave height and water level on the beach and face of the coastal bank. Explained

what he used to make his calculations. A normal storm wave height was about ½ foot breaking on the beach at high tide. During a major storm, the surge was 4 feet with a wave height at 4 feet breaking at the toe of the bank and running up the bank. The third storm had about 2.5-foot wave height breaking on the beach and running up the bank. Short term erosion rate is about 1.7 feet per year. The toe of the bank is regularly exposed to waves and storm surge.

Gasbarro – In the report they prepared, they explained how stabilizing the bank will protect each protected area. He also included his analyses of other options.

Wilkinson – Reviewed locations of similarly designed structures, which he said have been successful. The underlying goal is to reseed the coastal bank but it has to be stable enough to hold the plants.

Golding – Asked how much sand was lost and how much will be reintroduced; the proposed seems far less than what is actually lost. His calculation for 3 to 4 inch sand cover is about 3 cubic yards (CY) per linear foot over the 1800 feet of the project.

Wilkinson – Noted that the sand has to be deeper at the foot than at the top to hold the sand at the top; the goal is to match the contribution. He will recheck the figures.

Erisman – The sand cover at the Shimmo project this past winter looked like it had blown to the next property; she's concerned that could happen here. There are sheltered habitats in front of this beach. Asked if there were any source photos of this bluff. Asked if it had ever been stable or has it always been just a sediment source. Also asked the location of the home closest to the bluff.

Gasbarro – The bottom step of Nr 61 is the closest. Most of the homes are post 1978; said they didn't dig too deep because this isn't a coastal engineering structure. This is a soft solution.

Champoux – Asked what stabilizing plants would be used.

Wilkinson – American beach grass. They will come back after 3-5 years to discuss any changes necessary such as adding shrubs. The upper fiber rolls could be vegetated at the time of installation.

Champoux – We are going to see a lot of these projects and the island will run out of nourishment material. We need to consider where materials will come from when that happens and the effect it will have on the project.

Wilkinson – There is a change at the State regulatory level as to what will be allowed as a sediment source; we don't know what the situation will be in 10 years. As the beach grass begins to grow, it harvests the sand mitigating the amount of nourishment necessary.

Steinauer – Beach grass collects small amounts of sand; asked how deep the grass can be buried and still survive.

Wilkinson – Beach grass buried 4-6 inches has no problem; the more established it gets the more sand it can tolerate.

Erisman – Asked if there is a concern for cliff-nesting birds. Asked also how they intend to monitor the rocky areas in front of the bank.

Carlson – He has never seen cliff-nesting birds in this stretch; they prefer a stable vertical face.

Wilkinson – As for monitoring the cobble, they would review the topographical changes and any changes in compositional elements.

Gasbarro – Explained how he surveyed the material composition of the bank and looked for any pockets of salt marshes.

Steinauer – Asked if the cobble affects wave break.

Weishar – Cobble doesn't help break waves but will slow run up. He noted that action on this beach is dynamic.

Bennett – Asked how long it will be before they can see whether or not the structure is having an effect.

Wilkinson – By looking at vegetated cover, he can ascertain the amount of damage and friction exerted.

Steinauer – Asked how far out from the bank the bottom roll will be.

Wilkinson – Not much further than the existing toe. Noted that in their clean up, they will have to remove only the area of the upper bank which is undercut.

Steinauer – Asked about the possibility of end scour should the fiber rolls become uncovered.

Wilkinson – Looking at other similar projects around Cape and Islands, it doesn't match the absorption rate of wave energy as the bank; have never seen end scour extend more than 5-7 feet off the end. End scour happens when that energy gets trapped.

Gasbarro – Noted that the western end runs into a sand-drift fence project with nourishment.

Molden – Asked whether or not these rolls are designed to release sand when subjected to wave energy; would like more information about the type of mesh and number of layers. Would like to know how the installation will terminate. Nourishment material is the veneer on the front; asked what the material inside the rolls will be. The nourishment plan indicates it will be provided in May; this location should balance the need for downdrift sediment. "Significant storm" has to be redefined in regards to monitoring reports; the current definition doesn't adequately cover some of the northeasters that have hit. To evaluate how much nourishment is being placed, you need an idea of how much is being lost and when. Asked the commission to require, in monitoring, to look at the elevation of the beach and mean high water for the length of the project.

Steinauer – Stated the commission has seen three types of coir installation: bags, logs, and mats.

Gasbarro – The “bag” is referred to as a tube, which is a coir tube filled with sand. This particular product uses a coir roll, which is a roll of fiber around itself. Stated that it will be best for hem to file a supplemental response to Ms Molden’s and the commission’s questions. The mean high water, not just the observed water line, should be shown on all the plans. Every other, or every, monitoring report should be presented, not just submitted. Sediment is coming from the veneer not the rolls. Nourishment delivery is more about what is needed to maintain the template.

Golding – Asked how many transects would be appropriate for monitoring before, during, and after construction; this is 1800 feet long.

Gasbarro – He thought three transects were adequate but he can add more.

Steinauer – Asked if there is an overall organization or separate individuals overseeing this multi-property project. What happens if a property changes hands.

Reade – Can put on record that future owners are subject to the responsibilities set by the prior owner.

Golding – We need to establish failure criteria.

Erisman – At the terminal end at Nr. 47, asked if there is a significant amount of water seeping out of the cliff at that location.

Gasbarro – Asked for a continuance to May 4.

Staff He has never seen cliff-nesting birds in this stretch; they prefer a stable vertical face.

Motion Continued to May 4 without objection.

Vote N/A

7. Savel Nominee Trust – 47 Pocomo Road (15-19) SE48-2872

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham

Recused None

Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.

Representative **David M. Haines**, Haines Hydrogeologic Consulting – In regards to Ms Erisman’s question about seepage at 47 Pocomo, confirmed that water does seep out; there is a wetland system in back of the bank. Massachusetts Natural Heritage ruled no adverse affect; they asked that the toe of the stairs be kept as close as possible to the toe of the slope; explained that the stairs will be pulled back.

Public None

Discussion (5:22) None

Staff Were waiting for Massachusetts Natural Heritage comments; those arrived.

He was instructed to draft a positive order.

Have everything needed to close.

Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: LaFleur) (seconded by: Champoux)

Vote Carried unanimously

8. *Wilson – 24 Brant Point Road (29-85.1) SE48-2876

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham

Recused None

Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.

Representative **Chris Powers** – The project is to eradicate a stand of knotweed by treating it with glyphosate at 3% with red weeder application and then plant two maples and one birch in the area and, if necessary in the future, plant some winterberry. There is an olive tree his client would like to get rid of.

Public None

Discussion (5:25) **Steinauer** – Confirmed that this is solid knotweed with no other plants.

Champoux – The glyphosate should be administered by a licensed applicator.

Golding – There had been concern about the affect of glyphosate on the wetland.

Steinauer – Glyphosate is more effective when the plant is going into dormancy; recommends triclopyr in the Spring and the glyphosate in the Fall.

Champoux – Pointed out the process to completely eradicate the knotweed will take about three years.

Steinauer – Noted that the weeder applies small amounts and the concentration might have to be increased to 10%. A back-pack sprayer delivers more of the product.

Bennett – Asked if the application of the herbicides should be a concern for the trees they want to put in.

Champoux – No.

Steinauer – Typically require a few plots be put out there. Asked how big the area is.

Powers – 200 SF bordering the new lawn area.

Staff The two resources are land subject to coastal storm flowage and a buffer zone to a vegetated wetland.

They could have applied under an RDA but figured, if down the road conditions required adaptation of methods, they could modify the permit. During his site visit, he didn’t find any other plants of the area; the knotweed is pretty thick.

Have everything needed to close.

Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: Topham) (seconded by: Champoux)

Vote Carried unanimously

9. *Maine Ave Trust – 10, 12, & 14 Maine Avenue (60.3.1-433,459,463 & 431) SE48-2877
 Sitting Bennett (acting chair), Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Recused Steinauer
 Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
 Representative **Arthur D. Gasbarro**, Nantucket Engineering & Survey – This NOI is a follow up to a friendly enforcement order to relocate structures away from a rapidly eroding coastal bank. The structures have been moved outside the 100-foot buffer.
 Public None
 Discussion (5:36) None
 Staff Have everything needed to close.
 Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: LaFleur) (seconded by: Topham)
 Vote Carried 6-0

10. *Swift – 231 Madaket Road (38-10) SE48-2878
 Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Recused None
 Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
 Representative **David M. Haines**, Haines Hydrogeologic Consulting – This is for a new septic and clean up between the original plan and what is there now. The septic is outside the buffer zone to a bordering vegetated wetland. The 100-year flood elevation covers the whole property. The previous order established a no-disturb buffer, which wasn't restored; that will be restored under this NOI. Reviewed differences between the original order and what exists. A small portion of a vegetable garden is on Madaket Conservation Land Trust property; his client has permission for that use and it is included in this application.
Don Bracken, Bracken Engineering, Inc. – There is a waiver request for a 2-foot separation for the shed. The septic is standard and reviewed by the BOH.
 Public None
 Discussion (5:38) None
 Staff Aerial archives indicate the use on this property has moved over time; he's not sure at what point the fences appeared. The aerial indicates it was clearly lawn down into the wetland; that is being cleaned up. Have everything needed to close.
 Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: Golding) (seconded by: Topham)
 Vote Carried unanimously

11. *A & B Realty Trust – 53 & 55 Baxter Road (49-17 & 18) SE48-2879
 Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Recused None
 Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
 Representative **Arthur D. Gasbarro**, Nantucket Engineering & Survey – This is for work within the buffer to a coastal bank; relocate structures on the site outside the 50-foot buffer and landscape the vacated area. No dewatering is proposed. The second geothermal well is moving to outside the 100-foot buffer.
 Arthur Reade, Reade, Gullicksen, Hanley, & Gifford LLP
 Public None
 Discussion (5:48) **Erisman** – Asked whether or not it has a basement.
Gasbarro – It doesn't have a basement now; but it will go on a full basement.
 Staff The existing condition plan shows two geothermal wells; one will stay, asked what is happening to the second. Have everything needed to close.
 Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: LaFleur) (seconded by: Champoux)
 Vote Carried unanimously

B. Amended Orders of Conditions

1. Five and Nine Medouie Creek RT – 5 & 9 Medouie Creek Road (20-5 & 4) SE48-2587
 Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Recused None
 Documentation Site and topographical plans, photos, requisite departmental reports and correspondence.
 Representative **Don Bracken**, Bracken Engineering, Inc. – Reviewed the original project. This amendment consists of removing 800 square feet (SF) of vegetation and extending the fence for the purpose of a vegetable garden; all work is outside the 50-foot buffer. Pool equipment and retaining walls and location of septic tank are additional changes.
 Public None
 Discussion (5:53) None
 Staff None
 Motion **Motion to Close and issue.** (made by: Champoux) (seconded by: Bennett)
 Vote Carried unanimously

2. Cumberland Farms, Inc – 115 Orange Street (55-364) SE48-2709
 Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Recused None
 Documentation Site and topographical plans, photos, requisite departmental reports and correspondence.
 Representative **Paul Santos**, Nantucket Surveyors – Reviewed original order. This amended order deals with incorporating a site plan into the file in regards to building size, hardscape, and layout. The existing garage and concrete slab will be replaced with a new storage structure. Drainage and utilities will not change. The front portion of the property is within land subject to coastal storm flowage.
 Public None
 Discussion (5:58) **Erisman** – Thinks there are trees other than Leland Cyprus that are better for the area.
 Staff None
 Motion **Motion to Close and Issue.** (made by: LaFleur) (seconded by: Bennett)
 Vote Carried unanimously

III. PUBLIC MEETING

A. Request for Determination of Applicability

1. *4 The Kids Realty Trust – 79 Pocomo Road (15-5)

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding
 Recused Topham
 Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
 Representative **Arthur D. Gasbarro**, Nantucket Engineering & Survey – This is for work within the buffer to coastal bank to clear brush, regrade, and seed or plant the area with native plants. Work will not encroach past the 25-foot buffer. No waivers needed or requested.
 Public None
 Discussion (6:04) None
 Staff Recommend approval with a Positive 2A confirming resource areas and Positive 3 for work within the buffer zone.
 Motion **Motion to Approve as recommended.** (made by: Bennett) (seconded by: LaFleur)
 Vote Carried 6-0

B. Minor Modifications

1. Cliff ACK Realty Trust – 96 Cliff Road (41-15) SE48-2066

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Recused None
 Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
 Representative **Paul Santos**, Nantucket Surveyors – Asking to incorporate a detailed landscape plan into the file and remove the tree that is on the site and located outside the 25-foot buffer to the swamp and 50-foot buffer to the vernal pool. This was held to ascertain the type of the tree, which is confirmed as a red maple. To mitigate its removal, they will replace it with two 4-caliper red maples.
 Public **Emily Molden**, Nantucket Land Council – Red maples are awesome habitat trees and this is a nice specimen. If the commission permits its removal, she encourages replacement with swamp red maples.
 Discussion (6:08) **Champoux** – Red maples are a significant tree in regards to habitat and performance. There is some question that it might be a silver maple. This tree is presenting some structural deficiency. He can't tell which maple it is until the leaves come out. As an arborist, he would recommend its removal.
Santos – If we agree it can be removed, asked if two trees at 4- caliper as replacement trees is adequate.
Champoux – More than two will be required to replace this mature tree. Caliper 8 trees are hard and expensive to move. Suggested getting a tree expert to do an analysis.
Golding – Suggested allowing the tree to be removed then ascertain its type for the mitigation trees.
Steinauer – Asked they hold for a positive identification of the tree. Then we can determine how much and what type of mitigation we want.
 Discussion about how to proceed: whether or not to allow the removal of the tree before knowing its species and replacing with four 6" red maples.
Santos – Asked for a continuance.
 Staff Performance standards don't allow for the destruction of forested swamp to which red maple is a key species. Removing the tree falls within the performance standards. For habitat purposes, the preference is that it be replaced.
 Motion Continued to May 4 without objection.
 Vote N/A

2. Collis – 5 Galen Avenue (29-122) SE48-2815

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Recused None
 Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
 Representative **Arthur D. Gasbarro**, Nantucket Engineering & Survey – The propane tank is being moved to under the southerly deck, where it will be above ground and strapped to the foundation. The front stairs are turning 90 degrees as opposed to going straight out. The rear deck stairs will be narrower.
 Public None
 Discussion (6:32) None
 Staff None
 Motion **Motion to Approve.** (made by: Topham) (seconded by: Bennett)
 Vote Carried unanimously

3. Wesquo Capital Partners – 57 Washington Street (42.2.3-37 Lot 1A) SE48-2796

4. Wesquo Capital Partners – 57 Washington Street (42.2.3-37 Lot 1B) SE48-2837

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Recused None
 Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
 Representative **Paul Santos**, Nantucket Surveyors – Reviewed the original orders of condition. The modification is to incorporate the detailed landscape layout for both properties. This is within land subject to coastal storm flowage. There is a coastal beach across the street. Flood elevation is 9 and the site is at elevation 4.5. Reviewed the landscaping plan. Drive and parking is grass with cobblestone strips. Patios and one spa are elevated; the other spa is at grade; the elevated areas represent about 10% of the lot area for each lot and won't restrict passage of flood waters.
 Public None
 Discussion (6:38) **Erisman** – The plan says a rain garden, but the plant list isn't indicative of a rain garden.
Santos – It is actually a retaining area to collect roof run off.
Champoux – The raised areas that are 10% of each lot are getting filled in. Asked how the performance standards address that.
 Discussion about whether or not the lots will be able to continue holding the same amount of flood waters with the raised areas.
Erisman – Asked how much top soil will be added to the site.
Santos – Enough only to bring it back up to 4.5; the site is currently scoured.
 Staff If your lot can hold X amount of flood water, it should continue to hold that amount of flood water. Raising any amount of grade alters the ability to hold water.
 Motion **Motion to Issue.** (made by: Bennett) (seconded by: LaFleur)
 Vote Carried 6-1//Erisman opposed

C. Certificates of Compliance

1. Cunningham – 103 Eel Point Road (32-6) SE48-2545

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Staff Permitted but not carried out.
 Discussion (6:56) None
 Motion **Motion to Issue.** (made by: LaFleur) (seconded by: Champoux)
 Vote Carried unanimously

2. Nantucket Islands Land Bank – 27 North Cambridge St (38-24) SE48-2527

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Staff Complete with on-going Condition 20.
 Discussion (6:56) None
 Motion **Motion to Issue.** (made by: Golding) (seconded by: Champoux)
 Vote Carried unanimously

3. Nantucket Islands Land Bank – 50 Madaket Rd (41-99) SE48-2205

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Staff Work is being carried out.
 Discussion (6:57) None
 Motion **Motion to Issue.** (made by: Topham) (seconded by: Bennett)
 Vote Carried unanimously

D. Extension for Order of Conditions

1. Nantucket Yacht Club – 1 South Beach Street (42.4.2-10) SE48-2559

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Staff Asking for a 1-year extension.
 Discussion (6:58) None
 Motion **Motion to Issue the 1-year extension.** (made by: Golding) (seconded by: Bennett)
 Vote Carried unanimously

E. Orders of Condition

- 1. Great State Properties LLC – 92 Washington Street (42.2.3-22) SE48-2870

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
Staff None
Discussion (6:58) None
Motion **Motion to Issue as drafted.** (made by: LaFleur) (seconded by: Champoux)
Vote Carried unanimously

- 2. Savel Nominee Trust – 47 Pocomo Road (15-19) SE48-2872

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
Staff None
Discussion (6:59) **Golding** – Asked for a provision that if the restored area doesn’t recover they should come back.
Motion **Motion to Issue as amended.** (made by: Champoux) (seconded by: LaFleur)
Vote Carried unanimously

- 3. Wilson – 24 Brant Point Rd (29-85.1) SE48-2876

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
Staff None
Discussion (7:02) **Golding** – Suggested before and after report on the health of the wetland.
Erisman – It should start regenerating by the end of the first growing season.
Steinauer – We are requiring photographic reports of the restored area.
Motion **Motion to Issue as drafted.** (made by: LaFleur) (seconded by: Bennett)
Vote Carried unanimously

- 4. Maine Ave Trust – 10,12,14 Maine Avenue (60.3.1-433,459,463 & 431) SE48-2877

Sitting Bennett (acting chair), Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
Staff None
Discussion (7:04) None
Motion **Motion to Issue as drafted.** (made by: Golding) (seconded by: LaFleur)
Vote Carried 6-0 (Steinauer recused)

- 5. Swift – 231 Madaket Road (38-10) SE48-2878

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
Staff None
Discussion (7:06) None
Motion **Motion to Issue as drafted.** (made by: Golding) (seconded by: Champoux)
Vote Carried unanimously

- 6. A & B Realty Trust – 53 & 55 Baxter Road (49-17 & 18) SE48-2879

Sitting Steinauer, Bennett, Erisman, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
Staff None
Discussion (7:07) None
Motion **Motion to Issue as drafted.** (made by: Bennett) (seconded by: Champoux)
Vote Carried unanimously

F. Other Business

- 1. Approval of Minutes, April 6, 0216: Approved by unanimous consent.
- 2. Reports:
 - a.CPC, Golding – Nothing
 - b. NP&EDC, Bennett – Nothing
 - c. Mosquito Control Committee, Erisman – A new larvicide has been approved
- 3. Commissioners Comment
 - a. Steinauer – The ponds have been opened.
 - b. Erisman – The end of Monomoy Road access is in terrible condition; the property owner on the right cut all the brush along the access which allows water to run down the path.
- 4. Administrator/Staff Reports

Motion to Adjourn: 7:12 p.m.

Submitted by:
Terry L. Norton