



CONSERVATION COMMISSION PUBLIC MEETING

2 Bathing Beach Road
Nantucket, Massachusetts 02554

www.nantucket-ma.gov

Wednesday, August 24, 2016 4:00 P.M.
4 Fairgrounds Road, Training Room

Commissioners: Andrew Bennett(Chair), Ashley Erisman(Vice Chair), Ernie Steinauer, David LaFleur,
Ben Champoux, Ian Golding, Joe Topham

Called to order at 4:01 p.m.

Staff in attendance: Jeff Carlson, Natural Resources Coordinator
Attending Members: Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
Absent Members: None
Late Arrivals: Golding, 4:03 p.m.
Earlier Departure: None

Agenda adopted by unanimous consent

*Matter has not been heard

I. PUBLIC MEETING

A. Public Comment – None

II. PUBLIC HEARING

A. Notice of Intent

1. Nantucket Islands Land Bank – 17 Commercial Wharf & Unnumbered Lot New Whale Street (42.2.4-7 & 8) SE48-2885
Cont (09/21/2016)

2. The Nantucket Islands Land Bank – 4 Polpis Harbor Road (54-187) SE48-2905

Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding Topham

Recused None

Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.

Representative Rachel Freeman, Nantucket Islands Land Bank (NILB)

Public None

Discussion (6:09) **Freeman** – Reviewed additional information: vegetation, intended treatment of fungus, and the soil test.
Steinauer – Explained what biochar is and its benefits to plants and soil; noted that Bartlett Farm has used it with great success.

Erisman – Asked how the bio-char will be applied.

Freeman – By hand.

Staff Massachusetts Natural Heritage has signed off with no adverse impact.

This being a follow up to an enforcement, time at a meeting should be set aside to follow up progress and ascertain what changes might be necessary; that is easy to condition.

Have everything needed to close.

Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: Champoux) (seconded by: LaFleur)

Vote Carried unanimously

3. Haulover LLC – 165 Wauwinet Road (7-1.1) SE48-2907 **Cont (09/07/2016)**
4. Nantucket Pond Coalition – White Goose Cove within Long Pond (594-30,31) SE48-2908

Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham

Recused None

Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.

Representative Robert Rezendes, Nantucket Pond Coalition

Marc Bellaud, President Solitude Lake Management

Public Charles Stott, Madaket Resident Association

Discussion (4:03) **Bellaud** – The Marsh Master® is a tract amphibious vehicle which is about two pounds per square foot. A tower on the top and 100-gallon tank allows the operator to spray down on the crown of the plant. The concentration is three quarts of glyphosate per acre. For narrow stands of phragmites a boat with an elevated tower can be used.

Champoux – The mixture is heavy enough that he thinks it's safe for winds up to about 10 MPH.

Erisman – Asked how many passes would be necessary.

Bellaud – From the area as he has seen it probably two with the outer edge sprayed from a boat. Noted that herbaceous plants bounce back from the Marsh Master® pretty quickly.

Golding – Asked the estimated treatment area.

Rezendes - The treatment area is about 2.9 acres.

Erisman – Her concern is monitoring; the pilot project did not collect data for review. The water is brackish, and there are few studies on the breakdown rate of glyphosates in salt water. Asked what is known about that.

Bellaud – It breaks down quickly in fresh water; he assumes the breakdown in brackish is about the same.

Erisman – In the final breakdown phosphates might be in the soil; and again there are few studies.

Bellaud – There is a very small amount being put out per acre. As of yet, there have been no concerns after treatment and this has been used all over New England.

Golding – Cited an article that puts the glyphosate half life at 13 to 14 days and phosphate up to 41 days.

Bellaud – This mixture is approved for aquatic uses due to the chemicals' short half life.

Steinauer – The questions is how long it stays in the water before it binds to the soil and starts attacking other plants. If we are going to test for phosphate, a pre-treatment test needs to be done. The pond already suffers from phosphate pollution.

Erisman – Asked if the identified any areas where there might be native vegetation.

Bellaud – They try to minimize impact so use a mixture of techniques. At this stage, the Marsh Master® is the least invasive method of reaching the stand. Later into the program, once the density of the stand is abated, they can use the less invasive application processes such as back packs to go after the areas of mixed vegetation.

Golding – Asked how they plan to minimize drift, how much drift do they expect, and if they are using a retardant.

Bellaud – The surfactin helps with the drift by helping it adhere; but drift is really managed by the technique of the application and spraying down on the plants with heavy droplets. Cide-Kick® was requested and partnered with glyphosate it is very effective.

Golding – Referred to a USDA publication that analyzed the issues of using spray with herbicides. Discussion about whether Cide-Kick® or Cide-Kick II® will be used and if they are safe for the environment.

Bellaud – Noted this has been used over nearly 1000 acres to treat phragmites and the products and applicators are registered for use in Massachusetts. Many state agencies have done studies to ensure safety.

Golding – Read an Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) letter from 2008 which addresses the potential effect of glyphosate on the ecosystem. Asked for specific examples of sensitive places it was used.

Bellaud – Reviewed some of the locations to include brackish water areas and protocol studies.

Bennett – Asked what the realistic time frame or if maintenance will have to be continuous.

Bellaud – He believes monitoring and management will have to be on-going; there might be products and techniques in the future that will provide better control of the phragmites.

Erisman – She's nervous about use of the Marsh Master®; asked if there is a chance the tracks will create puddles that would hold water for mosquitoes.

Bellaud – No because it is fully amphibious. The root mat bobs down then pops up.

Steinauer – Wants to ensure the machine is clean before it comes to the island and doesn't bring outside floating plants.

Bellaud – It would be pressure washed.

Stott – Spoke to the desires of area property owners who support this in hopes of reclaiming the pond for use by the public.

Staff A lot of questions at the prior hearing were about how the herbicide would be applied and the spray rates. Much of this discussion revolves around monitoring components which can be conditioned. Have everything needed to close.

Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: LaFleur) (seconded by: Topham)

Vote Carried unanimously

5. *Town of Nantucket – Shimmo Creek (adjacent to 43-1) SE48-2913

Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham

Recused None

Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.

Representative Leah Cabral, Assistant Biologist Natural Resources Department (NRD)

Public Karen Beattie, Nantucket Conservation Foundation (NCF)

Unidentified male abutter

Jocelyn Pearson, 31 Gardner Road

Discussion (4:45) **Cabral** – This is to reestablish the eastern oyster and provide habitat for it; explained the reasons for their decline. Reviewed the goals of the project to include education. Explained the scope of the restoration project and construction of the reef. The oysters will be restricted from harvesting for three years. Noted the proposed area for the reef is devoid of eel grass and other shellfish and approved for shellfish propagation.

Steinauer – Asked if there have been pathogen problems with the existing commercial growers.

Carlson – The biggest concern she has heard was the spread of boring sponge; some commercial farmers treat their oysters with a brining process. Explained how the reef shells will be cleaned before they go into the water.

Bennett – Asked if the oysters like warm water; the proposed area will be warm.

Cabral – Yes they do. The depth is about 4.5 meters at high tide.
Steinauer – Asked if there is potentially an issue with silt; the area has a muddy bottom.
Cabral – If the shell is high enough off the bottom; there shouldn't be any issues.
 Discussion about minimizing the effect of power boats on the oysters.
Carlson – Reviewed the reasons this area was chosen over others, one being low boat traffic. This area has been added to the Town list to test for water quality to get a two-year baseline before the oysters go in.
Steinauer – Suggested that if these oyster reefs get large enough to attenuate wave action, they could be used around the harbor to reduce wave energy.
Beattie – Asked how the area would be accessed, by boat or by the beach.
Unidentified male abutter – Asked how a public area can be closed.
Carlson – Explained the area is always open for passive recreation; what would be closed would be the taking of shellfish.
Steinauer – Asked where the spats come from.
Carlson – We do hold some native oysters that came from the harbor. Noted that oysters in Sacacha Pond have been isolated so have to test their genetics to see if they can be considered compatible.
Pearson – The only motor boat she's seen there is the NRD's; asked the commission to be careful and know their science. She has watched one osprey decimate the bird population; the ecology has adapted to this stasis. Wants to know how it will impact the shellfish industry outside the pond. There is also the visual pollution; the buoys in creek are bright orange that impact the wildness of the pond. Said she has seen people clamming there so believes they don't have all the information. She is concerned about introducing more humans to the area.
Cabral – The buoys belong to NRD and she can paint them another color. She will send Ms Pearson about oysters and how they benefit the area. Under state law it has to open after 3 years; she would prefer it become a sanctuary.
Unidentified male abutter – He's concerned about the area becoming open to schools which will increase the human activity in the area.

Cabral – Asked for a 4-week continuance.
 Staff This will have to be continued for Massachusetts Natural Heritage determination. Division of Marine Fisheries provided a letter of concerns that would allow winter flounder.
 Motion Continued to 9/21/2016 without objection.
 Vote N/A

6. *Nantucket Conservation Foundation – Medouie Creek (20-25) SE48-2915
 Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Recused None

Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
 Representative Karen Beattie, Nantucket Conservation Foundation
 Public None

Discussion (5:18) **Beattie** – This is for phragmites removal within a wetland historic area; so requesting a waiver to work within the resource area. The phragmites are all located within a 19 acre resource area saltmarsh. In 2008, a culvert was installed that restored tidal flow; the phragmites stand has reduced since then and the stand is much shorter and sparse but are starting to show up in areas that don't have tidal flow. The plan is to use Rodeo® in a 25% solution, which they have used at other sites with great success. Presented the long-term maintenance plan; noted they do not own the western side is privately owned. The application will be done by a licensed applicator and monitored by staff. They will provide the commission with reports.
Steinauer – This is exactly what this commission wants to see in phragmites management.
Golding – Asked how much Rodeo® has been used to date.
Beattie – That's included in the report. Noted that this will be clip and drip application. All the cuts are taken off site. Have a Certificate of Compliance and submitted annual reports. The saltmarsh is very successful. Asked for a 2-week continuance.

Staff Waiting for Massachusetts Natural Heritage.
 Motion Continued to 9/7/2016 without objection.
 Vote N/A

7. *Gudonis – 3 East Lincoln Avenue (42.4.1-11) SE48-2910
 Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Recused None

Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
 Representative Jeff Blackwell, Blackwell and Associates
 Public None

Discussion (5:26) **Blackwell** – This cottage has been flooded several times and is to be elevated to comply with FEMA requirements within land subject to coastal storm flowage. Due to the increase in height, there is the need for more steps; but there is no change in the square footage of the structure.

Staff Have everything needed to close.
 Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: Champoux) (seconded by: LaFleur)
 Vote Carried unanimously

8. *Wager – 3 Meader Street (42.2.3-39) SE48-2909
 Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Recused None
 Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
 Representative Jeff Blackwell, Blackwell and Associates
 Public None
 Discussion (5:29) **Blackwell** – Similar to the last, the structure will be lifted but with small additions. Resource area is land subject to coastal storm flowage. Will have roof gutter system directed to subsurface drainage structures.
 Staff Have everything needed to close.
 Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: Champoux) (seconded by: LaFleur)
 Vote Carried unanimously
9. *Sykes – 63 North Liberty Street (41-144) SE48-2911
 Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Recused None
 Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
 Representative Jeff Blackwell, Blackwell and Associates
 Public None
 Discussion (5:31) **Blackwell** – Replace garage/apartment with a new main house and cottage; the resource area is bordering vegetated wetlands to the east on Town property. The proposed structures are outside the 50-foot boundary in an already disturbed area. The 25-foot setback will be maintained. There will be no basement. Noted there have been no soil tests so he doesn't know how the depth to ground water.
Champoux – We need some sort of testing on the ground water.
Blackwell – Asked for a 2-week continuance.
 Staff If groundwater is within two feet of the footings, this will require a waiver.
 Motion Continued to 9/7/2016 without objection.
 Vote N/A
10. *Brass Lantern, LLC – 11 North Water Street (42.4.2-54.1) SE48-2914
 Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Recused None
 Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
 Representative Paul Santos, Nantucket Surveyors
 Public None
 Discussion (5:35) **Santos** – The resource area is land subject to coastal storm flowage on the upper edge of the flood zone. This NOI is to expand the structure into the existing shell parking area with a full basement. The stormwater policy act application has been submitted. The property is not subject to MESA review; received Massachusetts Natural Heritage sign off.
Erisman – If this will have a full basement, she wonders where the flood water would go.
Santos – It meets building code with the first floor is at elevation 12. Noted a commercial structure can have a full foundation in a flood zone. Only the back is in the resource area.
Champoux – The resource area is protected to allow water a place to go; his question is are there any processes in place that account for flow of water.
Santos – Explained how roof run off will be mitigated.
 Staff There was question as to whether or not this was actually within jurisdiction; decided to err on the side of caution.
 Have everything needed to close.
 Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: Champoux) (seconded by: Topham)
 Vote Carried unanimously
11. *7 Swain St, LLC – 7 Swain Street (42.4.1-79) SE48-2912
 Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Joe Topham
 Recused None
 Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.
 Representative David M. Haines, Haines Hydrogeologic Consulting
 Public None
 Discussion (5:45) **Haines** – The only resource area is land subject to coastal storm flowage. This is to replace an existing house with a new house. Dewatering is projected as not necessary; if the need arises, he would get permission to discharge into the storm drain. The first floor will be above the flood elevation. Explained the 4-foot, above-ground solid foundation. This is in the B Zone.
 Discussion about whether or not a solid foundation meets the Performance Standard and whether or not a different type of foundation should be used.
Golding – He feels water that doesn't go where the foundation is will go onto the neighbors' properties.
Haines – Noted he does have an alternate foundation option. Noted the solid foundation is not in the application but was part of the presentation.

Staff Read Performance Standard 1, which applies; his concern a foundation like this would alter the volume of flood water the property can absorb and contain. Noted that there is no waiver required for the project. He recommends that the board condition the project with an open foundation with breakaway panels; the applicant could come back for a modification once they have information to indicate a solid foundation would have no adverse impact according to the performance standard.
Have everything needed to close.

Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: Steinauer) (seconded by: LaFleur)

Vote Carried unanimously

B. Amended Orders of Conditions

1. MAK Daddy Trust – 68/72 Monomoy Road (43-119,115) SE48-2803

Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham

Recused None

Documentation Site and topographical plans, photos, requisite departmental I reports and correspondence.

Representative Arthur D. Gasbarro, Nantucket Engineering & Survey

Public None

Discussion (6:23) **Gasbarro** – Asked for 2-week continuance

Staff None

Motion Continued to 9/7/2016 without objection.

Vote N/A

III. PUBLIC MEETING

A. Request for Determination of Applicability

1. Lounsberry, Jr. et al – 71 Cliff Road (30-160)

Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham

Recused None

Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.

Representative David M. Haines, Haines Hydrogeologic Consulting

Public None

Discussion (6:23) **Haines** – This is to confirm the wetland boundaries on and off the property. Reviewed his assessment of the hydrology supporting his request that it not be called a wetland.

Staff Noted Bruce Perry, Third Part Consultant, confirmed the data Mr. Haines provided on his forms. Recommend issue with Positive 2A confirming boundaries as shown

Motion **Motion to Issue as recommended.** (made by: Champoux) (seconded by: LaFleur)

Vote Carried unanimously

2. Holland Jr. – 66 West Chester Street (41-371)

Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham

Recused None

Documentation Site plans, topographical maps, photos, MNH sign off letter, departmental reports, and correspondence.

Representative Mark Rits, Site Design Engineering

Public Kyra Haydock, 64 West Chester Street
Cathy Stewart, 68 West Chester Street

Discussion (6:27) **Rits** – Request is to confirm wetland lines and permit brush cutting of a stand of knotweed. Noted the location of the two wetlands: one on abutting property, second on the south side of this property. Knotweed is in that second area; they will cut up to the 50-foot buffer landward of the wetland. At this point the intent is to brush cut and remove the knotweed to clear out the area.
Erisman – Would like the knotweed be treated.
Rits – Willing to clip and drip the knotweed as well. Noted that this is definitely a mono-culture of knotweed.
Haydock – She is concerned the cut knotweed will spread into her property.
Erisman – Explained the clip and drip kills the roots and that the process might take three years to eradicate the stand.
Stewart – Asked what happens to the water flow when the knotweed is removed. She has a flooding problem onto her property due to a drain that gets clogged regularly. Expressed concern about dirt or a patio or gravel replacing the knotweed.
Steinauer – The commission can require the area be revegetated with native plants.
Rits – They can seed with a native meadow mix but don't want to plant bushes if there is going to be further work.

Staff Regulation Section 5.3 allows waivers for invasive species work down to the wetland edge and removal and treatment to be covered under an RDA. A basic requirement of all permits is that there is no loose soil left; typically revegetation is required.
Noted he will look at a drain that clogs and causes rain water to flood down the street and across the lots. Recommend they be allowed to do work and treat knotweed

Motion **Motion to Issue as recommended.** (made by: LaFleur) (seconded by: Champoux)

Vote Carried unanimously

B. Certificates of Compliance

1. Collis – 5 Galen Avenue (29-122) SE48-2815 **Cont (09/07/2016)**

2. 62 Walsh Street Trust – 62 Walsh Street (29-85) SE48-2651

Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 Staff This was for construction of a dwelling and cottage and associated grading. This is in compliance.

Discussion (6:48) No discussion.

Motion **Motion to Accept.** (made by: Golding) (seconded by: LaFleur)

Vote Carried unanimously

3. Doubleday – 28 Easton Street (42.1.4-14,15) SE48-1647

Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham

Staff The permit was to replace a bulkhead for several lots. This permit was never acted upon and those involved in the initial permit obtained separate permits. This remains open. Requesting an invalid order of conditions to say the work was never performed.

Discussion (6:49) No discussion.

Motion **Motion to Accept as an invalid order of conditions.** (made by: LaFleur) (seconded by: Champoux)

Vote Carried unanimously

C. Orders of Condition

1. The Nantucket Land Bank – 4 Polpis Harbor Road (54-187) SE48-2905

Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham

Staff In the permit overview, he will add the restoration of wetlands with limited use of biochar. Condition 22: sets a time frame to discuss the condition of Holly Trees to determine if further action is necessary. Condition 23: requires a certified arborist be involved in the management of the trees. He will add in Finding 2: order is in response to enforcement.

Discussion (6:52) No additional discussion.

Motion **Motion to Issue as amended.** (made by: Steinauer) (seconded by: Champoux)

Vote Carried unanimously

2. Nantucket Pond Coalition – White Goose Cove within Long Pond (594-30,31) SE48-2908

Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham

Staff Much of this came from the Order of Conditions for the pilot program.

Noted that Condition 27 also addresses monitoring over the three-year life of the permit.

Pointed out that ConCom is not a data collecting entity; its purpose is to protect resource areas and any data collected is used to help make decisions.

He will add Condition 28: addresses cleaning and inspecting machinery; Condition 29: will condition that staff can observe the Marsh Master® at least during initial use from an identified the access point.

Discussion (6:56) **Erisman** – She is opposed. Doesn't feel sufficient information was provided on sediment water quality and there appears to be a lack of desire to monitor. The pilot project approval was based upon monitoring which she feels has not been provided. This proposal did not address a long-term plan to reintroduce native species. Need to add the cleaning of the Marsh Master®; asked if staff could be on board while it is spraying.

Golding – Monitoring should be made part of the order. The history of Monsanto with glyphosate is questionable; they said it was safe for the environment but its safety has since been proven false. He feels strongly that a protocol be in place to gain data on how the glyphosate interacts with the environment.

Suggested adding Condition 30 that monitoring protocols are to include before and after treatment on the fauna with annual reports every year after.

Champoux – A lot of questions came down to whether or not the herbicides are safe for the environment; he doesn't believe any data this commission collected could make an impact on the existing studies of the chemicals proposed for use. He would want to know the person applying this is qualified.

Steinauer – We don't have the expertise to analyze the information Mr. Golding is requesting. We know these chemicals have some impact but glyphosate and Cide-Kick® have been shown to have the least impact; he believes that leaving the phragmites would have a greater adverse impact on the area than the chemicals.

Bennett – The difficulty is doing a legitimate study. Mr. Bellaud noted that they had studied the affect of glyphosate in previous projects. Noted that the concentration of clip and drip is higher than the solution that will be used in this project. Condition 3 addresses gaining information on the environment before and after treatment.

Steven Cohen, Cohen & Cohen Law PC – Suggested requiring a work protocol to be submitted by the applicant and reviewed and approved by staff before work starts.

Motion **Motion to Issue as amended.** (made by: Golding) (seconded by: Topham)

Vote Carried 6-1//Erisman opposed

3. Gudonis – 3 East Lincoln Avenue (42.4.1-11) SE48-2910
 - Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 - Staff Straight forward.
 - Discussion (7:27) No discussion or questions.
 - Motion **Motion to Issue.** (made by: LaFleur) (seconded by: Champoux)
 - Vote Carried unanimously
4. Wager – 3 Meader Street (42.2.3-39) SE48-2909
 - Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 - Staff Straight forward.
 - Discussion (7:28) No discussion or questions.
 - Motion **Motion to Issue.** (made by: LaFleur) (seconded by: Champoux)
 - Vote Carried unanimously
5. Brass Lantern, LLC – 11 North Water Street (42.4.2-54.1) SE48-2914
 - Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 - Staff Straight forward.
 - Discussion (7:28) No discussion or questions.
 - Motion **Motion to Issue.** (made by: Champoux) (seconded by: LaFleur)
 - Vote Carried unanimously
6. 7 Swain St, LLC – 7 Swain Street (42.4.1-79) SE48-2912
 - Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 - Staff He will add Condition 19: foundation won't be solid and will have vents. Cond. 20: roof runoff will be infiltrated.
 - Discussion (7:29) Erisman – Pointed out need to condition infiltration.
 - Motion **Motion to Issue as amended.** (made by: Golding) (seconded by: Steinauer)
 - Vote Carried unanimously
7. Via Vai, LLC – 10 Hickory Meadow Lane (41-904) SE48-2682 (**Reissue**)
 - Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Topham
 - Staff Can't locate original to record. Closest point to isolated vegetated wetland is 71 feet. No waivers required.
 - Discussion (7:31) No discussion or questions.
 - Motion **Motion to Reissue.** (made by: Steinauer) (seconded by: Champoux)
 - Vote Carried unanimously
8. Rowe – 137 Wauwinet Road (11-11.1) SE48-2468 (**Reissue**)
 - Sitting Bennett, Erisman, Steinauer, LaFleur, Champoux, Golding, Joe Topham
 - Staff Replacement of existing I/A septic that wasn't recorded.
 - Discussion (7:33) No discussion or questions.
 - Motion **Motion to Reissue.** (made by: Erisman) (seconded by: Champoux)
 - Vote Carried unanimously

D. Monitoring Reports (7:33)

1. SBPF – 87-105 Baxter Road (Multiple) SE48-2824: slideshow presentation by Marie Hartnett, Geologist Epsilon Associates Inc, with Q&A.
2. Glidden – 87 Eel Point Rd (32-11) SE48-2564

G. Other Business (8:24)

1. Approval of Minutes 08/10/2016: Approved by unanimous consent
2. Enforcement Actions
 - a. None
3. Reports:
 - a. CPC, Golding
 - b. NP&EDC, Bennett
 - c. Mosquito Control Committee, Erisman
4. Commissioners Comment
 - a. None
5. Administrator/Staff Reports
 - a. None

Motion to Adjourn: 8:27 p.m.

Submitted by:
Terry L. Norton