



# Town of Nantucket Finance Committee

[www.nantucket-ma.gov](http://www.nantucket-ma.gov)

**Committee Members:** David Worth(Chair), Stephen Maury(Vice-chair), Clifford Williams, Joseph T. Grause Jr., Peter McEachern, Henry Sanford, Joanna Roche, Christopher Glowacki, Jean Grimmer

## MINUTES

**Tuesday, February 21, 2017**

4 Fairgrounds Road, Community Room – 4:00 p.m.

Called to order at 4:00 p.m.

Staff in attendance: Libby Gibson, Town Manager; Brian Turbitt, Director of Finance; Julia Lindner, Financial Analyst

Attending Members: Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer

Absent Members: Roche

Late Arrivals: None

Early Departures: None

Documents used: February 6 & 13, 2017 minutes; Site and architectural plans for Our Island Home (OIH); Contract Review Committee Recommendations; Citizen Warrant Articles for 2017 Annual Town Meeting.

### I. ANNOUNCEMENTS

### II. PUBLIC COMMENT

1. None

### III. APPROVAL OF PRIOR MEETING MINUTES

1. February 6, 2017: **Motion to Approve.** (made by: Williams) (seconded by: Grause) Carried unanimously
2. February 13, 2017: **Motion to Approve.** (made by: Williams) (seconded by: Grause) Carried unanimously

### IV. REVIEW, DISCUSS NEW PROPOSED FACILITY FOR OIH

Sitting Maury (acting), Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer

Discussion **Dick Webb**, SMRT – Explained the proposed site at Sherburne Commons and the Howard parcel and the architectural plans for a 40-unit facility. Summarized the project costs for slab on grade construction of a single-story, 40-bed facility just under 35,000 square feet (SF); total is \$29,928,852. This does not include any costs for property acquisition.

**Maury** – Asked if the costs include fees for replacement of habitat.

**Webb** – No they do not; they do include permitting costs.

**Maury** – There are significant operational savings with the construction of the new facility. The current facility is in dire need of immediate repairs, which must be completed whether or not the new facility moves forward.

**Grause** – Asked what the ball-park cost of acquiring the land might be.

**Maury** – That is being negotiated.

Rachel Chretien, Administrator OIH

**V. REVIEW, DISCUSS, ACTION ON MOTIONS TO PLANNING BOARD MOTIONS FOR ZONING ARTICLES**

1. Article 38 (Zoning Map Change: RC to ROH – Old North Wharf, Commercial Wharf, Still Dock, Commercial Street, and Washington Street)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Andrew Vorce**, Director PLUS – This is a Planning Board sponsored article related to the master plan. The Master Plan phases out the residential commercial district and Residential 2. This changes RC to ROH. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.  
**Grause** – There is a difference in the lots from the proposed to first draft.  
**Vorce** – Removed Commercial Wharf to Washington Street for further consideration.
2. Article 39 (Zoning Map Change: RC to CDT – Old South and Straight Wharves and New Whale Street)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – These are predominantly commercial areas going to downtown commercial.
3. Article 40 (Bylaw Amendment: Harbor Overlay District)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – The existing Harbor Overlay boundary doesn't include properties affected by Chapter 91 silled tidelands; this expands the boundaries to include those internal properties. Explained why the properties can't get their Chapter 91 licensing without this.
4. Article 41 (Zoning Map Change: North Water Street)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This property would go from CN to CDT. NIR, the owner, has asked this not move forward. It has no action from the Planning Board.
5. Article 42 (Zoning Map Change: RC to CMI – Mid-Island: Sparks Avenue and Pleasant Street)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – Extends the CMI to this property. The lessor has pledged to use this to add additional work-force housing in the event it is developed. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
6. Article 43 (Zoning Map Change: RC to CMI – Mid-Island: Orange Street)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – One concern raised was whether or not the owner was seeking this in order to raise the height. They are not and are willing to enter into an agreement restricting the height. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board. The restriction would run with the land.
7. Article 44 (Zoning Map Change: RC to CN – Mid-Island: Pleasant Street, Sparks Avenue, and Williams Lane)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – It's commercial to commercial rezoning and is appropriate. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
8. Article 45 (Zoning Map Change: R-1 to CN – Mid-Island)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This is hospital property and was agreed to as part of an exchange of land with the hospital to provide the Town land to construct a roundabout at the intersection of Atlantic Avenue. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
9. Article 46 (Zoning Map Change: RC to CN – Nantucket Inn)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – Again commercial to commercial for a single property. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
10. Article 47 (Zoning Map Change: R-20 to CN – Airport Road) Kim Glowacki  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This is a citizen article from residential to commercial. Planning Board has not yet made a recommendation. The Planning Board is in discussion with the property owner.

11. Article 48 (Zoning Map Change: RC-2 to R-5 – Park Circle)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vote** – This is commercial to residential. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
12. Article 49 (Zoning Map Change: RC-2 to R-5 – Nobadeer Farm, Hinsdale, and Sun Island Road)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vote** – This is the same principal as Article 48. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
13. Article 50 (Zoning Map Change: RC-2 to CMI – Airport)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vote** – This is commercial to commercial and infills parcels left out at the last ATM. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
14. Article 51 (Zoning Map Change: RC-2 to CTEC – Teasdale Circle)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vote** – Commercial to commercial for a mix-use zone. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
15. Article 52 (Zoning Map Change: RC-2 to CI and/or CTEC – Airport)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vote** – A portion is going to CTEC and a portion to commercial industrial. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
16. Article 53 (Zoning Map Change: RC-2 to R-40 – Nobadeer Farm Road)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vote** – This is for oversized properties where there are schools and clubs and changes to a lower intensity zone. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
17. Article 54 (Zoning Map Change: RC-2 to R-5, R-10 and CTEC – Old South Road and Pine Crest Drive)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vote** – This is an important change that reduces the overall density and allows on-site businesses.
18. Article 55 (Zoning Map Change: RC-2 to R-5 – Nobska Way, Appleton Road, and Essex Road)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vote** – This has been the site of a lot of rezoning; the Planning Board decided to move forward with about half of Nobska Way. Planning Board needs to take a final vote on this.
19. Article 56 (Zoning Map Change: RC-2 to CN – Essex Road)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vote** – Commercial to commercial rezoning. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
20. Article 59 (Zoning Map Change: LUG-2 to LUG-1 – South Shore Road) Marcia Rubin  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vote** – Planning Board is still working on a final designation. This includes the Howard property. He believes there will be a positive recommendation on this once the boundaries are set.
21. Article 58 (Zoning Map Change: LUG-2 to R-40 – 3-9 South Shore Road) Arthur Reade, Jr.  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vote** – This has a negative recommendation from the Planning Board. This area is used for agriculture.
22. Article 57 (Zoning Map Change: 3 South Shore Road) Arthur I. Reade, Jr.  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vote** – This is a companion article to extend the Town overlay district. This is directly south of the housing authority property and surrounded by higher density. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board. There are some rare plants that will drive this toward a cluster subdivision.

23. Article 61 (Zoning Map Change: LUG-2 to R-40 – Evergreen Way) Lindsey Knapp  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This has been considered twice before; the Planning Board supports a transitional zone between the R-20 to the north and the lower density to the south. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
24. Article 60 (Zoning Map Change: LUG-2 to LUG-1 – Evergreen Way) Lindsey Knapp  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
25. Article 62 (Zoning Map Change: R-20 and LUG-2 to LUG-1 – Skyline Drive and Woodland Drive) Paul F. Smith  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – There is no recommendation on this article yet. The final vote is March 6.
26. Article 63 (Zoning Map Change: LUG-2 to LUG-1 – Monohansett Road and Okorwaw Avenue) Barbara Clarke  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This would extend the LUG-1 over three properties. This is a subset of an article considered in the past. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
27. Article 64 (Zoning Map Change: LUG-3 to LUG-2; 7 West Miacomet Road) Charles W. Fisher  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – Rezones a single property. This has a negative recommendation from the Planning Board because this would be a spot zone.
28. Article 65 (Zoning Map Change: Miscellaneous Split Lot Corrections)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This is for lots that cross zoning districts to pull the whole lot into one zoning district. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.  
**Grause** – Asked how the Planning Board approaches articles that increase density, particularly citizen articles.  
**Vorce** – In general they look at the surrounding zone, usually looking at a transition, whether or not there is water and sewer, whether or not it matches the overlay. Clearly the location at the edges influences the Planning Board.
29. Article 66 (Bylaw Amendment: Affordable Lot and Affordable Unit Requirement) Linda Holland  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This is a citizen article; staff provided assistance. The Planning Board has made a recommendation to refer this to the Affordable Housing Trust Fund for further study and development of a recommendation.
30. Article 67 (Bylaw Amendment: Residential Housing Size) Linda Holland  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This would incorporate limits on housing size. The Planning Board did not support this article and received some data from the assessor that indicates we have the same problem as Martha’s Vineyard. This has a negative recommendation from the Planning Board.
31. Article 68 ( Zoning Bylaw Amendment: Residential Commercial (RC) and Commercial Industrial (CI) Districts)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This modifies two dimensions in the bylaws. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
32. Article 69 (Zoning Bylaw Amendment: Village Residential (VR)) Robert M. Kucharavy  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This citizen article deals with pools in all Village Residential districts. The planning Board changed this from a prohibition to requiring a special permit for a pool in VR with restrictions. The Zoning Board of appeals would be the granting authority. Explained where the VR districts are located.

33. Article 70 (Zoning Bylaw Amendment: Village Height Overlay District) Bradford L. Fleming  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This citizen article would extend the 25-foot Village Height Overlay District to include all of Madaket. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
34. Article 71 (Zoning Use Chart Amendment: Prohibition Regarding Helicopters) David D. Worth  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This citizen article limits private heli-pads. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
35. Article 72 (Zoning Bylaw Amendment: Rear Lot Subdivision)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This would allow the Planning Board to issue a special permit in lieu of a subdivision when a single road serves the subdivision. There are conditions that would have to be met. It's not allowed in ROH or LUG -2 or LUG-3.
36. Article 73 (Zoning Bylaw Amendment: Moorlands Management District)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This is minor corrections. This has a positive recommendation from the Planning Board.
37. Article 74 (Zoning Bylaw Amendment: Secondary Lots)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – This clarifies interpretations for secondary lots when a covenant lot is created.
38. Article 75 (Zoning Bylaw Amendment: Technical Amendments)  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – Technical amendment including new definitions.

## **VI. REVIEW, DISCUSS (ARTICLE 76) DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT FINANCING**

1. Article 76 (Bylaw Amendment: District Improvement Financing (DIF))  
Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer  
Discussion **Vorce** – Asked the board not to spend a lot of time on this. He is requesting a possible motion not to proceed. It needs more study.

**VII. WARRANT ARTICLES FOR 2017 ANNUAL TOWN MEETING (ATM)**

1. Article 94 Real Estate Conveyance: Various “Paper” Streets and Miscellaneous Parcels)
2. Article 95 (Real Estate Acquisition: Nancy Ann Lane) Patricia Roggeveen
3. Article 96 (Real Estate Conveyance: Nancy Ann Lane) Patricia Roggeveen
4. Article 97 (Real Estate Acquisition: Mayflower Circle, Daffodil Lane) Patricia Roggeveen
5. Article 98 (Real Estate Conveyance: Mayflower Circle, Daffodil Lane) Patricia Roggeveen
6. Article 99 (Real Estate Acquisition: North Road) Steven Cohen
7. Article 100 (Real Estate Conveyance: North Road) Steven Cohen
8. Article 101 (Real Estate Acquisition: Sandwich Road) Steven Cohen
9. Article 102 (Real Estate Conveyance: Sandwich Road) Steven Cohen
10. Article 103 (Real Estate Acquisition: Scott’s Way) Christopher L. Ray
11. Article 104 (Real Estate Conveyance: Scott’s Way) Christopher L. Ray

Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer

Discussion **Maury** – There were no questions or discussion on Articles 95-104 so Ms Gibson drafted motions for FinCom to Adopt.

Discussion on the motion.

**Christopher Ray** – Real Estate Assessment Committee (REAC) recommended approval of Article 103; for Article 104, they suggested conveyance of part of the property with retention of an 8-foot easement. He asks the easement be stricken. There is no need for an easement to access Scott’s Way. He had been unable to attend their meeting as it was not advertised.

**Williams** – That is something that would be amended on ATM floor.

**Worth** – This committee doesn’t know enough about the situation to change their recommendation.

**Rick Atherton**, Board of Selectmen (BOS) – The BOS want to review some of the REAC recommendations; suggested passing over Scott’s Way until the BOS reviews those recommendations.

**Worth** – Need an motion to amend the motion deleting Articles 103 and 104.

Motion to amend the motion deleting Articles 103 and 104. (made by: Williams) (seconded by: Maury)

Motion **Motion to Adopt the draft motions for Articles 94-102.** (made by: Maury) (seconded by: Williams)

Vote Carried unanimously

12. Article 18 (Appropriation: Sewer Project/Additional Nantucket Harbor Shimmo Parcels and Plus Infill Parcels in Town Sewer District)

Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer

Discussion **Grause** – There was an objection to the wording calling for payment through a betterment loan; they want the wording to be the same as the original article.

**Worth** – The BOS addressed the problem, these will go into the district under the same terms as the article from 2016 ATM. FinCom can recommend taking the language out; however, we should be careful not to inadvertently open up the opportunity for anyone who wants to come into the district in the future may do so at no expense.

**Atherton** – Wherever there are existing boundaries, there are abutters “across the street” who want to be included and hook up. He is opposed to removing the betterment language.

Discussion about how precedent might or might not be set by removing the betterment language.

**Atherton** – There is also on the book a capacity fee that addresses properties which would exceed the capacity of the Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) to offset the costs of increasing the plant’s capacity.

**Kelly** – The BOS took a vote to change the language bringing these lots into the district under the same conditions as those in the original article.

**Worth** – FinCom can recommend striking the language or we can recommend a charge or vote to adopt as it is written.

**Maury** – As he understands this, it is correcting an error of elimination; so he feels they shouldn't be charged. The Wastewater Plan shows these lots as in the district.

**Turbitt** – These parcels couldn't be added at the time of the Town vote in 2016; they were at the time in another needs area, however due to their proximity to this district it was decided to include them.

**Glowacki** – Based on Mr. Turbitt's comments, he would prefer proposing zero betterment.

Discussion about the specific language of the comment.

Motion **Motion to Adopt with a comment not to assess project costs as a betterment.** (made by: Williams) (seconded by: Maury)

Vote Carried unanimously

13. Article 19 (Bylaw Amendment: Board of Sewer Commissioners/Sewer District Map Changes)

Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer

Discussion **Worth** – This redraws the sewer district lines adding the parcels.

Motion **Motion to Approve.** (made by: Grause) (seconded by: Maury)

Vote Carried unanimously

14. Article 26 (Appropriation: Affordable Housing Trust Fund)

Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer

Discussion **Worth** – At the time of the previous discussion with Ms Linda Williams, this board had been concerned about a lack of strategic direction. Their request had been reduced to \$500,000 with additional \$400,000 from the Community Preservation Committee (CPC).

**Gibson** – Last year the board agreed to recommend a \$1M but they had to come to the BOS for expenditures over \$100,000; this does not say that.

**Sanford** – The money is needed and feels it should be made available. Might add a comment that we expect to see some effort toward a plan or staffing and grant applications.

**Grause** – He has similar concerns about the lack of plans but supports this article.

**Glowacki** – He's a "reluctant" supporter. There's some excellent guidance in the Housing Production Plan document about integration of the CPC and Housing Trust.

**Maury** – The Housing Production Plan is one deliverable the Trust has made. He feels they should avail themselves of additional CPC funds.

Discussion about a comment addressing the drawing up of a plan and better integration.

Motion **Motion to Adopt.** (made by: Grause) (seconded by: Williams)

Vote Carried unanimously

15. Article 27 (Appropriation: Other Post-Employment Benefits Trust Fund)

Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer

Discussion **Worth** – We've historically been approving \$500,000.

Motion **Motion to Adopt.** (made by: Williams) (seconded by: Maury)

Vote Carried unanimously

16. Article 28 (Establishment of and Appropriation for Stabilization Fund for Airport)

Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer

Discussion **Turbitt** – The money the Airport is requesting for this will be \$200,000.

Motion **Motion to Adopt.** (made by: Glowacki) (seconded by: Maury)

Vote Carried unanimously

17. Article 29 (Appropriation: Collective Bargaining Agreement/Laborer's Union)

18. Article 30 (Appropriation: Collective Bargaining Agreement/Fire)

19. Article 31 (Appropriation: Collective Bargaining Agreement/Airport Union)

20. Article 32 (Appropriation: Collective Bargaining Agreement/Our Island Home)

21. Article 33 (Appropriation: Collective Bargaining Agreement/Public Works)

22. Article 34 (Appropriation: Collective Bargaining Agreement/Police)

23. Article 35 (Appropriation: Collective Bargaining Agreement/Wannacomet Water)

Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer

Discussion **Gibson** – These are not yet ready.

Motion **Motion to Take No Action on Articles 29 through 35 and comment that negotiations are not completed.** (made by: Maury) (seconded by: Williams)

Vote Carried unanimously

24. Article 105 (Real Estate Conveyance: 131, 135 Pleasant Street) Catherine Flanagan Stover

25. Article 106 (Real Estate Conveyance: 2, 4, 6, 8 Ticcoma Way) Catherine Flanagan Stover

26. Article 107 (Real Estate Conveyance: 9 East Creek Road) Catherine Flanagan Stover

Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer

Discussion **Worth** – The REAC decided not to weigh in on these. He recalls the Fincom acted on these a while ago. The motion for these three was not to adopt.

27. Article 14: Appropriation: New Facility for Our Island Home)

28. Article 15 (Appropriation: Real Property Acquisition)

Sitting Worth, Maury, Williams, Grause, McEachern, Sanford, Glowacki, Grimmer

Discussion **Maury** – Suggested not taking action on these at this meeting and holding the motion for a later meeting. He suggested members attend the OIH workgroups meetings.

### VIII. COMMITTEE REPORTS

1. Capital Program Committee: McEachern – The Capital Program Committee (CapCom) met to review the Wannacomet Water Company request for funds for a new administration building. The vote was 3-2 in favor of using \$1.5M in retained earnings for the project to go forward. There was no value engineering done to the building; the explanation of need revolved around customer service and private conference areas. They had site plans and floor plans. The question of holding off on a new building until after Mr. Gardner's retirement was raised at the FinCom level but not at the CapCom meeting. Looking at the entire Town of Nantucket and where the total of \$3.5 stands, he feels it is low on the priority. In his mind, this is not crucial; we're not talking about life safety, ADA, or making school lunches. Three voted in favor of the expenditure.
2. Our Island Home Workgroup: Williams – He has been able to attend meetings for the past two weeks.
3. Health and Human Services: Maury – There has been discussion about a Contract Review Committee report being made to FinCom on Thursday, February 23, 2017.

### IX. NEXT MEETING DATE/ADJOURNMENT

Date: Thursday, February 23, 2017; 4:00 p.m.; 4 Fairgrounds Road Community Room

Adjourned 6:00 p.m.

Submitted by:

Terry L. Norton