

5. Gary Creem 04-3603	6 + 8 Hydrangea Lane	Addition	73/87 + 88	Val Oliver Design
Voting	Pohl, Coombs, McLaughlin, Camp, Oliver			
Alternates	Thornewill			
Recused	None			
Documentation	Architectural elevation plans, site plan, photos, and advisory comments.			
Representing	Val Oliver, Val Oliver Design			
Public	None			
Concerns (5:35)	<p>Oliver – Presented project; will eliminate the door on the existing house; presented a revision based upon SAB.</p> <p>Backus – Read SAB comments: has 2 front doors; push garage back from front façade. With this being a double lot, they have to go to the Planning Board to eliminate the middle lot line and address the double curb cuts.</p> <p>Camp – This original submission looks like 2 structures of different styles. The revision is much more unified; would like the windows, the right of the 1st-floor, carried over into the left and the left shed dormer should be a gable; the same sized windows in the existing 1st floor should be used in the new main mass.</p> <p>Thornewill – The revision is clearer; the porch over the front door should extend a little more left to give the door “breathing space.” Okay with keeping the door on the existing but it should be more secondary.</p> <p>Coombs – Front elevation, was okay with the old door since there’s nothing wrong with putting two structures together; the shed dormer in the garage doesn’t fit the rest of that façade.</p> <p>McLaughlin – With a little change to the roof over the front door, this is approvable.</p> <p>Pohl – Agrees with much that’s been said about uniting the buildings; he likes moving the large existing 1st-floor windows into the new main mass.</p>			
Motion	Motion to Hold for revisions. (Camp)			
Roll-call Vote	Carried 5-0//Thornewill, McLaughlin, Coombs, Camp, and Pohl-aye		Certificate #	
6. Gary Creem 04-3604	6 + 8 Hydrangea Lane	Cabana	73/87 + 88	Val Oliver Design
Voting	Pohl, Coombs, McLaughlin, Camp, Oliver			
Alternates	Thornewill			
Recused	None			
Documentation	Architectural elevation plans, site plan, photos, and advisory comments.			
Representing	Val Oliver, Val Oliver Design			
Public	None			
Concerns (5:52)	<p>Oliver – Presented project.</p> <p>Backus – Read SAB comments: no concerns due to lack of visibility. There was a comment about the east elevation shower not being shown.</p> <p>Coombs – No concerns with the inclusion of the shower.</p> <p>Camp – There are 2 different types of doors; one looks like an interior door and should be more fitting for a cabana.</p> <p>Thornewill – She doesn’t have any concerns.</p> <p>McLaughlin – No comments.</p> <p>Pohl – The shower has to be added to the east and west elevations.</p>			
Motion	Motion to Approve through staff with the outdoor shower shown on the east and west elevations. (Coombs)			
Roll-call Vote	Carried 5-0//Thornewill, Camp, McLaughlin, Coombs, and Pohl-aye		Certificate #	HDC2021-04-3604

7. Josh Gregg 05-_____	2 Sandplain Drive	Pool, fence, and hardscaping	68/356	Pool/Spa Design
Voting	Pohl, Coombs, McLaughlin, Camp, Oliver			
Alternates	Thornewill			
Recused	None			
Documentation	Landscape design plans, site plan, and photos.			
Representing	Steven Senna, Swimming Pool/Spa Designs			
Public	None			
Concerns (5:56)	<p>Senna – Presented project; there is no patio, just coping around the pool.</p> <p>Pohl – The fence can be 48” and this board wants the fence on the inside of the plantings, not the outside, because we don’t want to see the fence.</p> <p>Coombs – She is having problems with 5’ vegetation around ¾ of the property; this is not typical for dune area; doesn’t like the proposed fencing. Type of vegetation is important since this is a new lot and should be more natural to the area. The vegetation should be native to Nantucket. She wants to see where the fence is going and what it looks like.</p> <p>Camp – Agrees; a fence and vegetation around the entire lot looks like you’re hiding something; Suggested starting the fence behind the garage and going around to the back leaving the corner open. Don’t know what the vegetation will be and where it’s going and don’t have information on the patio.</p> <p>Oliver – This needs a little finessing. With our caveat, the onus is on them to properly screen. Agrees with leaving the corner open. There are photos of patio material, but it shown on the plans.</p> <p>McLaughlin – This is compatible to the area.</p> <p>Pohl – The fencing and indigenous evergreen vegetation be pulled back to be in line with the rear ell of the house.</p>			
Motion	Motion to Hold for revisions and clarification on the plans. (Oliver)			
Roll-call Vote	Carried 5-0//Coombs, McLaughlin, Camp, Oliver, and Pohl-aye		Certificate #	

