



HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING

2 Fairgrounds Road
Nantucket, Massachusetts 02554
www.nantucket-ma.gov

Commissioners: Raymond Pohl (Chair), Diane Coombs (Vice-chair), John McLaughlin, Abigail Camp, Vallorie Oliver,
Associate Commissioners: Stephen Welch, Jessie Dutra, Carrie Thornewill

~~ MINUTES ~~

Thursday, March 18, 2021

*This meeting was held via remote participation using ZOOM and YouTube,
Pursuant to Governor Baker's March 12, 2020 Order Regarding Open Meeting Law*

Called to order at 1:03 p.m. and announcements by Mr. Pohl

Staff in attendance: Cathy Flynn, HDC Compliance Coordinator; Holly Backus, Preservation Planner; Terry Norton, Town Minutes Taker
 Attending Members: Pohl, Coombs, McLaughlin, Camp, Welch, Thornewill
 Absent Members: Oliver, Dutra
 Late Arrivals: McLaughlin, 1:45 p.m.
 Early Departures: None

Agenda adopted by unanimous consent.

Motion **Motion to Approve as drafted. (Coombs)**

Roll-call Vote Carried 5-0//Camp, Welch, Thornewill, Coombs, and Pohl-aye

I. PUBLIC COMMENT

1. None

II. CONSENT

<u>Property owner name</u>	<u>Street Address</u>	<u>Scope of work</u>	<u>Map/Parcel</u>	<u>Agent</u>
1. Galyna Bahdanovich 03-3101	65 Miacomet Avenue	Addition	67/84.1	Self
2. Melissa Pique 02-3027	39 Surfside Road	New dwelling	55/438	Topham Design
3. Jesse Geagan 03-3184	40 Fairgrounds Road	Like-kind window/ color chg	67/297	Isaiah Stover
4. James Koval 03-3183	24 Pocomo Road	Rev 1973; wind to door	14/73	Botticelli & Pohl
Voting	Coombs, Camp, Welch, Thornewill			
Alternates	None			
Recused	Pohl			
Documentation	None			
Representing	None			
Public	None			
Concerns	No concerns.			
Motion	Motion to Approve. (Welch)			
Roll-call Vote	Carried 5-0//Camp, Thornewill, Welch, and Coombs-aye		Certificate #	HDC2021-(as noted)

III. CONSENT WITH CONDITIONS

<u>Property owner name</u>	<u>Street Address</u>	<u>Scope of work</u>	<u>Map/Parcel</u>	<u>Agent</u>
1. Daniel Omstead 02-3045	50 Wauwinet Road	Garage	14/68.2	SMRD
• Due to lack of visibility				
2. Jose Gutierrez 03-3185	5 Thurston's Way	As-built window replcmnt	66/33	Self
• As-built fine to be paid				
Voting	Pohl, Coombs, Camp, Welch, Thornewill			
Alternates	None			
Recused	None			
Documentation	None			
Representing	None			
Public	None			
Concerns	No additional concerns.			
Motion	Motion to Approve through staff per noted conditions. (Welch)			
Roll-call Vote	Carried 5-0//Thornewill, Camp, coombs, Welch, and Pohl-aye		Certificate #	HDC2021-(as noted)

IV. 60-DAY DENIALS DUE TO LACK OF RESPONSE

<u>Property owner name</u>	<u>Street Address</u>	<u>Scope of work</u>	<u>Map/Parcel</u>	<u>Agent</u>
1. David Pekarcik	52 Hooper Farm Road	New dwelling	67/316	Self
2. Richmond Grt Pt Devel	26B Evergreen Way	New dwelling	68/362	KOH Architecture
3. Richmond Grt Pt Devel	30A Evergreen Way	New dwelling	68/362	KOH Architecture
Voting	Pohl, Coombs, Camp, Welch, Thornewill			
Alternates	None			
Documentation	None			
Representing	None			
Concerns (time)	Staff – There has been no response on these items and can go forward with a vote.			
Motion	Motion to Approve denials due to lack of response. (Coombs)			
Roll-call Vote	Carried 5-0//Camp, Thornewill, Coombs, Welch, and Pohl-aye			
4. Jane Calin	4 Head of Plains	Rev 71884; garage	63/7	Concept Design
5. Paul Brody	11 E Street	Roof Top solar- Garage	60.2.1/4	BPC/ACK Smart
Concerns	Staff - Extension signed on these two; no action necessary			

V. NEW BUSINESS CARRIED OVER

<u>Property owner name</u>	<u>Street Address</u>	<u>Scope of work</u>	<u>Map/Parcel</u>	<u>Agent</u>
1. George Balboa 03-3087	71R Cliff Road	New dwelling	30/160.1	Brook Meerbergen
Voting	Pohl, Coombs, Camp, Welch Thornewill			
Alternates	None			
Recused	None			
Documentation	Architectural elevation plans, site plan, photos, correspondence and advisory comments.			
Representing	Brook Meerbergen			
Public	Heather Lamb, 73 Cliff Road Ethan Griffin, for 69 Cliff Road Meryl and Michael Bralower, 1 Hamblin Road Karen & Halil Padir, 69½ Cliff Road Arthur Reade, Reade, Gullicksen, Hanley, & Gifford LLP for the Bralowers and Richard Griffin			
Concerns (1:07)	<p>Meerbergen – Reviewed supplemental information, plan with topography and transverse cross sections. Looking at the roof plan, a lot of the area is covered roof. There is only about 1-foot difference between this and the Padir’s lot; the water flows toward the wetland; drainage is a question for a soil engineer; this has gone through the Conservation Commission (ConCom); there were no comments on drainage.</p> <p>Padir – Asked about how they plan to capture water runoff.</p> <p>Pohl – Run off is not under HDC purview; we are in charge of grading as it relates to the structure.</p> <p>Griffin – The topography is very helpful. He would like them to consider rescaling the structure to be more in keeping with others in the area. Would also like more consideration made in balancing cut and fill of the grade. Suggested height poles which reflect the grade change. ConCom didn’t put much consideration on the grading since this is all outside the 50-foot buffer.</p> <p>Reade – Echoed Mr. Griffin’s comments. He’s also concerned about the grade change; it is being raised and doesn’t see why it couldn’t be kept at the elevation of most of the lot.</p> <p>Padir – About HDC not being the place to raise drainage concern, asked where that would be raised (ConCom).</p> <p>Bralower – The scale doesn’t fit the neighborhood.</p> <p>Coombs – The lot is filled with structures. The pool should be reduced; the A/C are very close to the lot line; the studio should be reduced; the main building decks and porches should be cut back. This will be very visible.</p> <p>Camp – Agrees with Ms. Coombs: lot over developed with over-large structures and 2-car parking. There is little left for rainwater to be absorbed. Would prefer a 1½ story main house, small water feature, and shed.</p> <p>Welch – He accepts Mr. Reade’s testimony that the unnamed way should be considered a publicly traveled way. The design elements are approvable, but the overall massing is problematic in terms of setting; it goes from lot line to lot line while other houses in the area don’t do that. It would be appropriate to be along one or more property lines but not straddle the whole lot. Agrees about grading, it looks to him that elevation 37 is arbitrarily chosen; elevation 36 would be just as appropriate and allow a little retainage along the southern end of the lot.</p> <p>Thornewill – Agrees with what’s been said. This is an appropriate building style; but the massing should be reduced because of the lot size and location on the lot.</p> <p>Pohl – Ms. Coombs made a point about the porches; because the site is so small, suggested they consider reducing those. To Mr. Welch’s point, elevation 36.5 would bring the height down and wouldn’t put the main house down in the hole; could have a mudblock along the south elevation.</p>			
Motion	Motion to Hold for revisions. (Coombs)			
Roll-call Vote	Carried 5-0//Camp, Welch, Thornewill, Coombs, and Pohl-aye		Certificate #	

2. George Balboa 03-3100 71R Cliff Road Studio 30/160.1 Brook Meerbergen

Voting Pohl, Coombs, Camp, Welch Thornewill
 Alternates None
 Recused None
 Documentation Architectural elevation plans, site plan, photos, correspondence and advisory comments.
 Representing Brook Meerbergen
 Public Ethan Griffin, for 69 Cliff Road

Meryl and Michael Bralower, 1 Hamblin Road
 Arthur Reade, Reade, Gullicksen, Hanley, & Gifford LLP for the Bralowers
 Heather Lamb, 73 Cliff Road
 Karen and Halil Padir, 69½ Cliff Road

Concerns (1:27) **Meerbergen** – Presented project; 12X18 and 18’6” tall.
Griffin – This is fine; what’s going against it is the overall density of the lot.
Bralower – Agrees this should be a simple shed.
Reade – This is a fine structure, but applied to this lot and the aggressive program, it’s a bit much. It could be cut back to a shed for pool equipment.
Welch – It approvable and not imposing; it would be beneficial to take 4” off the top of the doors and/or lower the top plate a little. South elevation, the door pipes look out of proportion. East elevation, the door height is masked by the outdoor shower.
Coombs – She would prefer this be a zoning shed. South and north elevations could be left wide. Doesn’t like the folding doors.
Thornewill – Pointed out that this could be a little larger and still qualify as a zoning shed.
Camp – It’s hard to talk about this without talking about the whole site. This is a perfect cabana; it’s simple. Reduce the main house and square footage then this would be okay.
Pohl – Nothing to add. A reduction in this and the house would help. With lowering the grade elevation as well as the plate height, it would be good.

Motion **Motion to Hold for revisions.**

Roll-call Vote Carried 5-0//Welch, Thornewill, Coombs, Camp, and Pohl-aye Certificate #

3. George Balboa 03-3102 71R Cliff Road Pool 30/160.1 Brook Meerbergen

Voting Pohl, Coombs, Camp, Welch Thornewill
 Alternates None
 Recused None
 Documentation Landscape design plans, site plan, photos, correspondence and advisory comments.
 Representing Brook Meerbergen
 Public Heather Lamb, 73 Cliff Road

Ethan Griffin, for 69 Cliff Road
 Meryl and Michael Bralower, 1 Hamblin Road
 Karen and Halil Padir, 69½ Cliff Road
 Arthur Reade, Reade, Gullicksen, Hanley, & Gifford LLP for the Bralowers

Concerns (1:35) **Meerbergen** – Presented project, 16X28, explained pool fencing, there is no decking around the pool.
Lamb – This is 5 feet from her property line; the closest point to the house is 10 feet. Our lot and house are very small. Our house is also the lowest point in the area.
Reade – The site plan shows a clear view from Hamblin Road of the pool; his clients are also concerned about the impact on them. It’s a large pool close to the lot line.
Griffin – The locus map shows other pools in the neighborhood, but those pools are smaller relative to the structures and lots.
Bralower – During construction, we would appreciate there being a construction fence to contain the debris. The size of this pool relative to the lot is inordinately large. Wants to know more about the pool fencing and its relation to the vegetative screen.
Padir – Echoes the others’ concerns about the pool. Asked where the pool would be drained (not HDC purview).
Coombs – The pool is too large and should be reduced to an 8-foot-wide lap pool and moved away from the property line. There are places pools just don’t fit in. Fencing doesn’t need to wrap all the way around; it can stop at the studio.
Camp – The pool aggravates the issues with the whole sites; evergreens will box in the site and that isn’t natural to the area. Suggested a 10X10 pool or just a spa mainly because of the problem with screening from Hamblin. If they want grass around the pool, removing the deck would help.
Welch – Agrees the pool could be smaller and as is exacerbates issues. If it were smaller, it could be rotated 90 degrees.
Thornewill – Agrees with Mr. Welch.
Pohl – If you’re digging a pool or foundation, a builder will put up a fence to keep the sight protected. The wire pool fence is a code requirement and will be 4’ high and on the inside of a vegetative hedge.

Motion **Motion to Hold for revisions. (Camp)**

Roll-call Vote Carried 5-0//Coombs, Welch, Thornewill, Camp, and Pohl-aye Certificate #

4. White Elephant LLC 03-3084	50 Easton Street	Awning addition	42.4.1/23	Mike Duffy
Voting	Pohl, Coombs, Camp, Welch, Thornewill			
Alternates	None			
Recused	None			
Documentation	Architectural elevation plans, site plan, and photos.			
Representing	Mike Duffy			
Public	None			
Concerns (1:50)	<p>Duffy – Presented project.</p> <p>Backus – Read HSAB comments: not architecturally appropriate with this style; suggested a shed roof and a single color, not the shade of blue depicted in the photo.</p> <p>Camp – White Elephant has always had a 1960’s style to it; because of that, she’s okay with this.</p> <p>Coombs – She’d be okay with it in white; would like to see the proposed material; doesn’t like the proposed blue.</p> <p>Welch – Agrees with Ms. Camp. With respect to the color, the color should match the blue in the existing signage. If this were utilized in multiple locations, he’d have a different view but as a single location he’s okay with it.</p> <p>Thornewill – Agrees with HSAB; it should be an architectural element; the wall is big enough to handle a roof, shed or gable.</p> <p>Pohl – He likes HSAB’s suggestion for a shed roof. However, he agrees with Ms. Camp.</p>			
Motion	Motion to Hold for revisions. (Camp)			
Roll-call Vote	Carried 5-0//Coombs, Welch, Thornewill, Camp, and Pohl-aye		Certificate #	
5. Nicola Day 03-3085	5 Essex Road	Car tent	67/602	Ronny Arias
Voting	Pohl, Coombs, McLaughlin, Camp, Welch			
Alternates	Thornewill			
Recused	None			
Documentation	Site plan, and photos.			
Representing	Ronny Arias			
Public	None			
Concerns (1:58)	<p>Arias – Presented project; hardly visible from the street. Deflating around the car could work.</p> <p>Camp – Usually with stuff like this, it is temporary. Doesn’t want to make this permanent.</p> <p>Coombs – This is completely inappropriate; if it were for temporary use, it would be fine. If we approve this, it will set a precedent.</p> <p>McLaughlin – This isn’t in the old historic district (OHD); its shape should be conforming to a tent.</p> <p>Welch – Ms. Camp’s point is valid. It is benign given its location but should be approved for one year. Green or grey would be a better color. On Ms. Thornewill’s suggestion, if it were deflated, it wouldn’t be a structure.</p> <p>Thornewill – Asked why it can’t be deflated around the car; when you need the car, you inflate the tent.</p> <p>Pohl – He doesn’t mind giving this temporary status. On the occasion we approve structures like this, we put a deadline on how long it can be up. If this were to be approved, it would have to come down after a certain amount of time.</p>			
Motion	Motion to Approve as submitted for a period of 12 months. (Welch)			
Roll-call Vote	Carried 4-1//Coombs, McLaughlin, Welch, and Pohl-aye; Camp-nay		Certificate #	HDC2021-03-3085
6. 4EW, LLC 02-3055	4 Ellen’s Way	New dwelling	81/171	Brook Meerbergen
Voting	Pohl, Coombs, McLaughlin, Camp, Thornewill			
Alternates	Welch			
Recused	None			
Documentation	Architectural elevation plans, site plan, and photos.			
Representing	Brook Meerbergen			
Public	None			
Concerns (2:09)	<p>Meerbergen – Presented project.</p> <p>McLaughlin – Roof walk should not cover more than 30% of the roof; it looks too wide for the size of the roof.</p> <p>Coombs – Agrees about the roof walk. The flush, shed-dormer-window meeting rails should align with the eaves. South elevation, the trellis height should be reduced; the front door needs more trim.</p> <p>Camp – Looking at the site plan, asked about the arbor and fence. Okay with the architecture, but the agenda for the development is packed and starting to look like cookie-cutter lots. Feels the structure should be reduced to lighten the lot.</p> <p>Thornewill – This is a 5-bay structure but the 5th bay is gone; a 4 bay would fit better into the neighborhood; suggested expanding the left addition to absorb the 5th bay. Reduce the roof walk.</p> <p>Pohl – Agrees with Ms. Thornewill. Agrees about reducing to a 4 bay.</p>			
Motion	Motion to Hold for revisions. (Camp)			
Roll-call Vote	Carried 4-0//Coombs, Thornewill, McLaughlin, Camp, and Pohl-aye		Certificate #	

7.	4EW, LLC 02-3054	4 Ellen's Way	Garage/studio	81/171	Brook Meerbergen
Voting	Pohl, Coombs, McLaughlin, Camp, Thornewill				
Alternates	Welch				
Recused	None				
Documentation	Architectural elevation plans, site plan, and photos.				
Representing	Brook Meerbergen				
Public	None				
Concerns (2:19)	<p>Meerbergen – Presented project; minimally visible from Ellen's Way with open space behind the lot.</p> <p>Camp – To much height, size, and massing on the lot; if this had breathing room around it, it would be perfect.</p> <p>Coombs – Agrees with Ms. Camp. East elevation, it's too heavy and too big.</p> <p>McLaughlin – It's typical to the neighborhood.</p> <p>Thornewill – The wall plate height isn't low enough for the dormers to pop up; it makes the south elevation wall space greater; the wall to roof proportions don't work. Okay with the rear mass.</p> <p>Pohl – Agree with Ms. Thornewill. Solving that would solve Ms. Camps and Ms. Coombs concerns.</p>				
Motion	Motion to Hold for revisions. (McLaughlin)				
Roll-call Vote	Carried //Coombs, Camp, Thornewill, McLaughlin, and Pohl-aye			Certificate #	
8.	4EW, LLC 02-3052	4 Ellen's Way	Pool & hardscaping	81/171	Brook Meerbergen
Voting	Pohl, Coombs, McLaughlin, Camp, Thornewill				
Alternates	Welch				
Recused	None				
Documentation	Landscape design plans, site plan, and photos.				
Representing	Brook Meerbergen				
Public	None				
Concerns (2:25)	<p>Meerbergen – Presented project; not visible.</p> <p>McLaughlin – There are pools all through this subdivision, and this isn't visible. He has no concerns.</p> <p>Coombs – She'd like the pool reduced or the spa incorporated into the pool.</p> <p>Camp – Too much hardscaping; there's almost no grass left. A fence to screen from the road without vegetation is harsh.</p> <p>Thornewill – Agrees with Ms. Camp about softening the fence; okay with the hardscaping, which isn't visible.</p> <p>Pohl – Wants to see the suggested changes.</p>				
Motion	Motion to Hold for revisions. (Camp)				
Roll-call Vote	Carried 5-0//Coombs, McLaughlin, Thornewill, Camp, and Pohl-aye			Certificate #	
9.	Paul Gray 02-3060	9 Coffin Street	Addition	73.4.1/15	SMRD
Voting	Pohl, Coombs, McLaughlin, Camp, Welch				
Alternates	Thornewill				
Recused	None				
Documentation	Architectural elevation plans, site plan, photos, historic documentation, and advisory comments.				
Representing	Steve Roethke, Steve M. Roethke Design				
Public	None				
Concerns (2:28)	<p>Roethke – Presented project.</p> <p>Backus – Read SAB comments: overall no concerns; comment that 6-over-1 windows have a charm; eave details should be more harmonious between addition and original. Circa 1938; the chimney to be removed is not historic.</p> <p>Camp – Agrees with SAB, the 6-over-1 windows are charming; she likes the existing picture windows. 2-over-2 windows don't keep the sweet cottage look.</p> <p>Welch – Existing elevations have a mix of pane configurations; with respect to the east elevation addition, 6-over-1 would be great. South elevation, a 6-over-1 in the dormer would be less imposing. Keep the existing south elevation 4-light window. Keep details the same; an addition shouldn't necessarily mimic the existing, so the historic structure can be discerned.</p> <p>Coombs – Prefers 6-over-1 windows; it would be more cohesive.</p> <p>McLaughlin – Agrees with Mr. Welch about the windows. Feels this is approvable with those changes.</p> <p>Pohl – Nothing to add.</p>				
Motion	Motion to Hold for revisions. (Coombs)				
Roll-call Vote	Carried 5-0//Camp, Welch, McLaughlin, Coombs, and Pohl-aye			Certificate #	
Rest held for Tuesday, March 23 rd .					
10.	Hugh Davis 02-3045	112 Main Street	Cottage – entry cover	42.3.3/104	Gryphon Architect
11.	Hugh Davis 02-3062	112 Main Street	Shack – fenestration revs	42.3.3/104	Gryphon Architect
12.	11 Upper Tawpawshaw Rd, LLC 03-3106	11 Tawpawshaw Road	New dwelling	53/45	Mark Cutone
13.	11 Upper Tawpawshaw Rd, LLC 03-3104	11 Tawpawshaw Road	Garage	53/45	Mark Cutone
14.	11 Upper Tawpawshaw Rd, LLC 03-3093	11 Tawpawshaw Road	Pool	53/45	Mark Cutone
15.	11 Upper Tawpawshaw Rd, LLC 03-3103	11 Tawpawshaw Road	Pool cabana	53/45	Mark Cutone
16.	LBC Sconset, LLC 03-3075	9 Hawks Circle	New dwelling	74/37.1	JGG Architects
17.	Cannonbury Holdings 2 02-3025	16 Cannonbury Lane	Move on MH from 9 Hawks	74/12	JGG Architects
18.	Cannonbury Holdings 2 02-3026	16 Cannonbury Lane	Move on from 9 Hawks	74/12	JGG Architects

HDC Minutes for March 18, 2021, adopted Apr. 20

19.	10 Easy Street NT 03-3089	10 Easy Street	Window changes	42.3.1/78	NAG
20.	Chris Loftus 03-3092	8.5 Evergreen Way	New dwelling	68/703	Linda Williams
21.	38 Prospect, LLC 03-3070	38/38R Prospct/Birdsong	New main house	55.4.4/80.1	Brook Meerbergen
22.	38 Prospect, LLC 03-3099	38/38R Prospct/Birdsong	New 2 nd dwelling	55.4.4/80.1	Brook Meerbergen
23.	38 Prospect, LLC 03-3094	38/38R Prospct/Birdsong	Shed	55.4.4/80.1	Brook Meerbergen
24.	38 Prospect, LLC 02-3037	38/38R Prospct/Birdsong	Water feature	55.4.4/80.1	Brook Meerbergen
25.	Nantucket Oaks, LLC 02-3059	6 Fishers Lane	New dwelling	75/32.1	Normand Residential
26.	Nantucket Oaks, LLC 02-3058	6 Fishers Lane	Garage	75/32.1	Normand Residential
27.	Nantucket Oaks, LLC 03-3090	6 Fishers Lane	Pool and hardscape	75/32.1	Normand Residential
28.	The Richmond Co. 02-3060	9 Nancy Ann Lane	Loading dock/addition	68/135	KOH Architecture
29.	Michael Robinson 03-3088	13 Fayette Street	New deck, chimney	42.3.2/28	Sanne Payne
30.	Justin Brooks 03-3112	15 Correia Lane	New main dwelling	80/56	LINK
31.	Justin Brooks 03-3113	15 Correia Lane	New garage	80/56	LINK
32.	Steven Jemison 02-3029	4 Lavender Lane	New shed/tennis cabana	33/24	JB Studio/LINK
33.	Steven Jemison 02-3030	4 Lavender Lane	Tennis court	33/24	JB Studio/LINK
34.	Joseph Saluti 03-3114	174 Cliff Road	Fenestration changes	41/63	Emeritus
35.	M. Woodley 02-3039	22 Mizzenmast Road	Addition	66/368	Val Oliver
36.	17 Avenue Realty 02-3049	17 Lincoln Avenue	Move off/demo	30/118	Botticelli + Pohl
37.	Bruschi Family 02-3044	7 Westerwick Drive	New dwelling	73/35	Workshop/APD
38.	Bruschi Family 02-3056	7 Westerwick Drive	Garage/studio	73/35	Workshop/APD
39.	Mark Wendling 02-3050	4 John Adams Lane	Rev. 07-1397: pool & hrdscp	30/628	Botticelli + Pohl

VI. OLD BUSINESS (03/16/2021)

	<u>Property owner name</u>	<u>Street Address</u>	<u>Scope of work</u>	<u>Map/Parcel</u>	<u>Agent</u>
1.	Jon Schoudel 08-1477	13 Union Street	Brick driveway	42.3.2/16	Self
2.	Sharon Hubbard 02-2943	28 Dukes Road	Pool and hardscape	56/190	Waterscapes
3.	Brett Fodiman 01-2765	111 Surfside Road	New dwelling	80/70	Emeritus
4.	Paul Piccirillo 10-2053	6 Baltimore Road	New dwelling	60/106	Val Oliver Design
5.	61 Fairgrounds, LLC 02-2980	61 Fairgrounds Road – Lot A	Main house	67/176	Linda Williams
6.	61 Fairgrounds, LLC 02-2981	61 Fairgrounds Road – Lot A	Cottage	67/176	Linda Williams
7.	61 Fairgrounds, LLC 02-2982	61 Fairgrounds Road – Lot B	Main house	67/176	Linda Williams
8.	61 Fairgrounds, LLC 02-2983	61 Fairgrounds Road – Lot B	Cottage	67/176	Linda Williams
9.	61 Fairgrounds, LLC 02-2984	61 Fairgrounds Road – Lot C	Main house	67/176	Linda Williams
10.	61 Fairgrounds, LLC 02-2985	61 Fairgrounds Road – Lot C	Cottage	67/176	Linda Williams
11.	61 Fairgrounds, LLC 02-2986	61 Fairgrounds Road – Lot D	Main house	67/176	Linda Williams
12.	Three North Gully Rd 02-2956	7 North Gully Road	Demo/move off	73.1.4/123	Botticelli + Pohl
13.	Emily Overlook 02-2842	22 Clifton Street	New dwelling	73.4.1/26.3	Bentley + Churchill
14.	Gifford Whitney A Trst 02-2977	6 Lily Street	Renovations	42.3.4/45	CWA
15.	Brian + Toni Franz 02-2974	12 Cannonbury Lane	New dwelling	74/10	Workshop/APD
16.	Brian + Toni Franz 02-2975	12 Cannonbury Lane	Garage	74/10	Workshop/APD
17.	Brian + Toni Franz 02-2957	12 Cannonbury Lane	Shed	74/10	Workshop/APD
18.	LBC Sconset LLC 01-2762	9 Hawks Circle	New dwelling	74/37.1	JGG Architects
19.	Charles Schwarzapfel 10-2033	9 Maine Avenue	Pool	60.3.1/425	NAG
20.	46 Union St N.T. 02-2843	46 Union Street	Addition	42.2.3/28	M. Cutone Arch
21.	Deb Wasil 01-2786	51 Pleasant Street	Hardscape	55/32.3	Linda Williams
22.	17 Avenue RT 01-2776	17 Lincoln Avenue	Rev. 12-2442: ext revisions	30/118	Botticelli + Pohl
23.	Gordon C. Russell 02-2976	3 School Street	Adtn, roofwalk & clapboard	42.3.2/125	Flavin Architects
24.	Gordon C. Russell 02-2976	3 School Street	Hardscape	42.3.2/125	Flavin Architects
25.	Taylor Murphy 02-2961	7 Evergreen Way	Garage door change	68/722	Self
26.	37 Pocomo Road R.T. 02-2932	37 Pocomo Road	New dwelling	14/38	Workshop/APD
27.	Brain Rice 02-2965	41 Crooked Lane	Main house addition	41/202	Workshop/APD
28.	Brain Rice 02-2964	41 Crooked Lane	New garage	41/202	Workshop/APD

VII. OTHER BUSINESS

Approved Minutes	February 12, 18, 23 & 26 2021: no action taken
Review Minutes	February 25, March 1, 4 & 5, 2021
Other Business	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Next HDC Meeting- Old Business Tuesday March 123, 2021 4:30 p.m. • Discussion of Resilient Nantucket meeting • Grey shingles in the OHD/SOHD • Discussion of additions and new dwelling added to the consent agenda • Review policy of Move/Demo hearings in relation to new dwellings • Hardscaping
Commission Comments	None

List of additional documents used at the meeting:

1. Draft minutes as listed

Adjournment:

Motion **Motion to Adjourn at 2:38 p.m. (Welch)**

Roll-call Vote Carried 5-0//Camp, Coombs, McLaughlin, Welch and Pohl-aye

Submitted by:

Terry L. Norton

Historic Structures Advisory Board

Sconset Advisory Board

Madaket Advisory Board